UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,173	12/31/2003	Tal Gat	P-6382-US	5558
	7590 04/09/2007 N ZEDEK LATZER, LLP		EXAMINER	
1500 BROADV	VAY, 12TH FLOOR		PETRANEK, JACOB ANDREW	
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2183	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		ļ-i r				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/748,173	GAT ET AL.				
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jacob Petranek	2183				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Jacob Petranek.	(3) <i>Michal Moav</i> .					
(2) <u>Caleb Pollack</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 29 March 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 12, and 20</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Reinman et al. ("Optimizations Enabled by a Decoupled Front-End Architecture") and Giacalone et al. (U.S. 6,272,624).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed a possible amendment to independent claim 1 that stated a branch prediction cache containing two sides, with each side storing even and odd numbered address respectively. The examiner failed to find this limitation within Reinman and Giacalone and agreed that the proposed amendment if entered would overcome the current rejection, but would require further search and/or consideration to determine if it's allowable.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		· .				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE! EDDIE CHYN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)